

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Diagnostic Accuracy of Red Cell Distribution Width to Platelet Ratio for Detection of Liver Fibrosis Compared with Fibroscan in Chronic Hepatitis B Egyptian patients

Amira Kamal Gabr¹, Nehad Ibrahim Hawash², Sherief Abd-Elsalam^{2,*}, Rehab Badawi² and Hanan Hamed Soliman²

¹Tanta Fever Hospital, Tanta, Egypt

²Tropical Medicine and Infectious Diseases Department, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt

Abstract:

Background and Aims:

The decision to treat chronic hepatitis B Virus infection (CHB) may necessitate an assessment of the degree of liver fibrosis. Guidelines recommend Fibroscan examination in such cases. However, it is costly and not widely available. Red cell distribution width (RDW) and platelet count are simple parameters obtained from the blood pictures; and their ratio RDW to platelet ratio (RPR) was claimed to correlate with liver fibrosis. We aimed to assess the ability of RPR to replace the costly fibroscan in the detection of significant fibrosis in chronic hepatitis B patients.

Patients and Methods:

This cross-sectional study was conducted in the Tropical medicine department, Tanta University, Egypt, between December 2018 and September 2019. One hundred and twenty-five patients with CHB were included and divided according to the fibroscan examination into: Group I: patients with no significant fibrosis (n=66), Group II: patients with significant (\geq F2) fibrosis (n=59). RPR was calculated for all patients and tested against Fibroscan results.

Results:

Both groups were matched in regards to age, sex, viral load, and steatosis. There was a significant positive correlation between the degree of stiffness measured by FibroScan in patients with a significant degree of fibrosis and serum bilirubin, a quantitative polymerase chain reaction of hepatitis B virus DNA (HBV DNA PCR), and fibrosis-4 score (FIB-4 score) (P value= 0.020, 0.049, and 0.0402, respectively). However, RPR was not correlated to the degree of fibrosis in fibroscan examination.

Conclusions:

The accuracy of RDW to platelet ratio (RPR) for the detection of fibrosis in CHB patients is questionable. FIB-4 is correlated with liver stiffness measurement (LSM) in patients with significant fibrosis (F2 or more). Neither RPR, AST to Platelet Ratio Index (APRI) or FIB4 can replace fibroscan for grading of fibrosis in CHB patients for evaluation to start therapy.

Keywords: Chronic hepatitis B, Liver fibrosis, Red cell distribution, FibroScan, Liver cirrhosis, Platelets.

Article History	Received: December 13, 2021	Revised: March 16, 2022	Accepted: April 22, 2022
Al ticle History	Received. December 15, 2021	Revised. Waren 10, 2022	Accepted. April 22, 2022

1. INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) is one of the most important viruses causing chronic liver inflammation, which in turn hastens the risk of end-stage liver disease and liver cancer [1]. In Egypt, HBV prevalence is 1.4% among adults aged between 15-59 years [2].

The management strategy and time to start therapy in patients with chronic HBV infection (CHB) depend on three factors; the clinical status of the patient, laboratory liver profile, and the degree of liver fibrosis [3]. Although liver biopsy is the gold standard for estimating liver pathology, it has the drawbacks of invasive maneuvers such as pain and risk of bleeding [4]. This raised the concept of non-invasive methods for assessing liver fibrosis, either radiological or laboratory.

Transient elastogram (Fibroscan) is adopted by EASL2017

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at Department of Tropical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt. El-Bahr Street, Tanta, Egypt; Tel: 00201147773440; E-mail: sherif_tropical@yahoo.com

2 The Open Biomarkers Journal, 2022, Volume 12

HBV treatment guidelines as a standard investigation for significant fibrosis, which necessitates treatment (\geq f2) [3]. It can also diagnose fatty liver and cirrhosis, which commonly exist alongside chronic viral hepatitis [5]. However, FibroScan is an expensive device that requires trained personnel and is not widely available.

Red blood cell distribution width (RDW), RDW corpuscular volume (RDW-CV), and RDW standard deviation (RDW-SD) are the measures of the range of variation of red blood cells (RBCs) volume that is routinely measured as a part of regular complete blood count [6]. They have been described as predictive markers in several conditions, including coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure [7], cerebrovascular accidents [8], pulmonary hypertension [9], and peripheral artery disease [10].

RDW was found to correlate with liver fibrosis degree and associated with poor short-term outcomes in patients suffering from HBV [11]. In addition, RDW to platelet ratio (RPR) was proposed as a significant predictor of fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis [12].

This study aimed to assess the ability of RPR to predict significant fibrosis in chronic hepatitis B patients and to compare its accuracy with FibroScan results.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted in the Tropical medicine department, Tanta University, Egypt, in the period from December 2018 to September 2019. The study was approved by Tanta University Ethical Committee (approval code 32996/03/19).

Treatment naive male or female patients, 18 years or older, with chronic HBV infection documented by positive HBsAg for more than 6 months and detectable HBV DNA were eligible to share in the study. Patients suffering from; hepatitis C virus co-infection, anemia (either microcytic, hypochromic, or macrocytic), thrombocytosis, thrombocytopenia, metabolic syndrome, blood transfusion 4 months prior to the examination, renal impairment, malignant disease other than liver cancer or unwillingness to participate in the study were excluded.

From a total of 151 patients screened to participate in the study; 125 patients who met the criteria and signed informed consent to take part in this study were enrolled.

All patients had been subjected to the following: Full history taking, complete physical examination, routine laboratory investigations including; liver function tests: Alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), serum albumin, total and direct serum bilirubin, Prothrombin time & activity, and international normalized ratio (INR), serology for HBV and Hepatitis C virus and Complete blood count (CBC). CBC analyses were performed automatically using Erma PCE-210 hematology analyzer to show the following indices: Hemoglobin level, red cell distribution width (RDW), white blood cells (WBCs) count, and platelet count.

The following scores were calculated:

• Fibrosis-4 score (FIB-4) = (age [yr]x AST [U/L]) /

 $((PLT [10^{9}/L]) \times (\sqrt{ALT [U/L]})) [13].$

- AST to Platelet Ratio Index (APRI) = AST (IU/L) / AST upper normal limit (IU/L) /Platelet count (10⁹/L) x 100 [14].
- RDW/ platelet ratio

2.1. Radiological Investigations Including

Ultrasound of the abdomen and pelvis was performed using Toshiba Nemio XG device with a convex probe 3.5 MHz using (B-mode) for the assessment of liver (echogenicity surface nodularity, edge, periportal fibrosis and narrowing of intrahepatic vessels), size of spleen and presence of other abnormal findings as ascites.

- Transient elastography (FibroScan) was performed using Fibroscan (echosens- France) 502; with M or XL probes, according to BMI of the patient. It was performed by experienced operators following the instructions of the manufacturer, for the detection of stiffness and steatosis results [15].
- FibroScan examination and blood samples were done on the same day.

All authors had access to the data of the study, and all had reviewed and approved the final edition of this paper.

3. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS software package version 25 (IBM^{\circ}, Armonk, NY: USA). The data were summarized as median, and inter quartile range or mean and standard deviation (SD) according to their distribution normality for quantitative variables, and percent for qualitative variables. Comparison between quantitative values was made using the unpaired Student t-test or Mann Whitney test. The Correlation coefficient was determined by Spearman's rank correlation. Receiver Operating Characteristic curve (ROC-curve) analysis was used to assess the overall diagnostic performance of each test at optimal cut-off values compared to fibroscan. Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values were calculated accordingly. For all used tests, the results were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05.

4. RESULTS

Patients included in this study were 125; (90 males and 35 females). They were categorized according to liver stiffness measured by FibroScan into two groups:

Group I: included 66 patients with no significant hepatic fibrosis degree (F0-F1).

Group II: included 59 patients with significant hepatic fibrosis degree (\geq F2).

Both groups were matched regarding age and sex (P = 0.57 and 0.42, respectively). There was no significant difference between both studied groups as regarded laboratory tests except for hemoglobin and INR as they were significantly increased in group II than group I (P = 0.044 and 0.03 respectively) (Table 1).

	-	Group I F0-F1 (n = 66)	Group II ≥ F2 (n = 59)	P value
	Median	40.5	40	0.55
Age (years)	q1-q3	31-50	35-50	0.57
	Males no (%)	45 (68.18%)	45 (76.27%)	0.42
Sex	Females no (%)	21 (31.82%)	14 (23.73%)	0.42
Hemoglobin(g/dl)	mean± SD	13.353±1.21	13.785±1.150	0.044*
DDW	Median	14.15	14.2	0.072
RDW	q1-q3	13.7-14.9	13.625-14.7	0.863
Platelets	Median	218	217	0.00
$(10^{3}/\text{mm}^{3})$	q1-q3	190-249	17-252	0.68
WBCs	Median	5.65	5.4	0.405
$(10^{3}/\text{mm}^{3})$	q1-q3	56.3	4.3-7.4	0.405
	Median	26.5	29	0.191
ALT (mg/dL)	q1-q3	22-31	20.25- 39.75	
AST (mg/dL)	Median	29.5	30	0.429
	q1-q3	23 - 35	24 - 37	
Bilirubin (mg/dL)	Median	0.85	0.8	0.986
	q1-q3	0.65-0.94	0.662-1.008	
Albumin	Mean	4.206	4.362	0.091
(mg/dL)	SD	0.433	0.584	0.091
INR	Median	1.03	1.07	0.03*
	q1-q3	1.01- 1.09	1.01-1.148	0.03*
Creatinine	Median	0.9	1	0.105
(mg/dL)	q1-q3	0.8-1.01	0.815-1.138	
Urea	Median	28	25	0.111
(mg/dL)	q1-q3	24-33	22-33	0.111
PCR	Median	798	457	0.148
TCK	q1-q3	320-2100	20-3052	
FIB-4	Median	1.02	1.1	0.134
f1D-4	q1-q3	0.76-1.37	0.76-1.763	
APRI	Median	0.327	0.365	0.111
ALVI	q1-q3	0.264-0.405	0.276-0.491	
RDW/PLAT	mean± SD	0.067 ± 0.016	0.069 ± 0.018	0.554
LSM	Median	4.9	9	< 0.001
LISIVI	q1-q3	4.3-5.6	8-11.4	~0.001
САР	mean± SD	232.6 ± 58.13	226.97 ± 46.63	0.611

Table 1. Demographic, laboratory data and fibroscan results in the two patient groups.

*significant as (P value <0.05).

Abbreviations: CAP, controlled attenuation parameter; RDW, red cell distribution width; WBCs, white blood cells; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; INR, international normalized ratio; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; FIB-4, fibrosis-4 index; APRI, AST to platelet ratio index; PLAT, platelets; LSM, liver stiffness measurement.

Table 2. Correlation between LSM measured by fibroscan and other collected parameters in both studied groups.

	Hepatic Fibrosis (LSM)			
-	Group I F0-F1 (n=66)		Group II ≥ F2 (n=59)	
	R	P value	R	P value
Age	0.0394	0.753	0.206	0.118
ALT	0.180	0.148	0.12	0.364
AST	0.105	0.230	0.14	0.288
Albumin	-0.015	0.404	-0.117	0.376
Bilirubin	0.232	0.0609	0.303	0.0200*

4 The Open Biomarkers Journal, 2022, Volume 12

(Table 2) contd.....

	Hepatic Fibrosis (LSM)			
-	Group I F0-F1 (n=66)		Group II ≥ F2 (n=59)	F2
	R	P value	R	P value
РТ	0.189	0.128	0.0396	0.765
Creatinine	-0.0948	0.449	0.0393	0.767
Platelet	-0.065	0.604	-0.209	0.112
WBCs	-0.0581	0.643	0.0736	0.578
Hb	0.206	0.0978	-0161	0.221
RDW	0.00685	0.584	0.159	0.229
PCR	-0.0604	0.632	0.382	0.0049*
APRI	0.119	0.341	0.164	0.213
FIB-4	0.0574	0.646	0.268	0.0402*
RDW/PLAT	-0.0541	0.668	0.227	0.0883
САР	0.0548	0.661	0.24	0.0666

*significant as (P value <0.05).

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; PT, prothrombin time; WBCs, white blood cells; Hb, hemoglobin; RDW, red cell distribution width; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; APRI, AST to platelet ratio index; FIB-4, fibrosis-4 index; PLAT, platelets; CAP, controlled attenuation parameter.

Calculated scores (RPR, FIB-4, and APRI) did not show any significant difference between the studied groups (P = 0.554, 0.134, and 0.111, respectively) (Table 1).

There was no significant correlation between the degree of stiffness in patients with non-significant fibrosis and all other studied parameters (Table 2), while there was a significant positive correlation between the degree of liver stiffness measured by FibroScan in patients with a significant degree of fibrosis and: serum bilirubin, PCR, and FIB-4 score (P value= 0.0200, 0.049, and 0.0402 respectively) (Table 2).

At cut-off \geq 1.76, FIB-4 has the ability to predict fibrosis

with 29.58% sensitivity, 91.14% specificity, 75% PPV, and 59% NPV (AUC= 0.573) (Table **3**, Fig. **1**).

Table 3. ROC curve analysis of the diagnostic accuracy of APRI, FIB-4, and RPR as predictors of significant fibrosis in the studied cohort.

Test	AUC	P value	95%CI
APRI	0.583	0.11	0.481-0.685
FIB4	0.578	0.13	0.476-0.680
RPR	0.509	0.87	0.406-0.612

Abbreviations: AUC, area under curve; FIB-4, fibrosis-4 index; APRI, AST to platelet ratio index; RPR, RDW to platelet ratio.



ROC Curve

Gabr et al.

Fig. (1). ROC curve analysis of the diagnostic accuracy of APRI, FIB-4, and RPR as predictors of significant fibrosis in the studied cohort.

5. DISCUSSION

We aimed in the present study to identify the ability of RPR to detect significant liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis B infection. There was no significant difference regarding age and sex among the two studied patient groups. This was in partial similarity to the results of Liu *et al.* and Huang *et al.* [16, 17], who did not find a significant difference in sex among their studied patients, but they concluded that the severity of fibrosis tended to increase significantly with increasing of age.

It is even logical that the more the age of the patient, the longer the course of infection and, subsequently, the more the pathology. However, this difference in our results can be attributed to the high prevalence of HBV infection in South East Asia, where these studies were conducted, which was reflected in the wider scale of age group included (ranging between 18-70 years). Younger and older patients included in those studies allow the significant difference in age to be elicited, while Egypt has an intermediate prevalence of HBV [18].

As regards laboratory investigations, INR was statistically increased in group II than in group I. This can be attributed to the higher degree of liver fibrosis in group II. Our explanation is based on previous studies that include INR in the scoring of advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis in hepatitis [19, 20].

According to Chen *et al.* [21] hemoglobin was negatively correlated to significant fibrosis and cirrhosis. This was not in accordance with our results, where hemoglobin was significantly higher in group II than in group I. Considering the fact that all patients with microcytic hypochromic anemia were excluded from our study to avoid RDW biased results; this contradiction seems explained by the different populations included in the studies.

Moreover, both groups in our study had their mean hemoglobin values in the normal range (13.4 and 13.8gm/dL), rendering this statistically significant difference of little if any clinical value.

In our study, total bilirubin was positively correlated with liver stiffness measured by fibroscan in patients with significant fibrosis. This was similar to the cross-sectional study performed by Li and Zhou on CHB patients [22].

Contrary to our results, Tubung *et al.* [23] reported that there was a negative correlation between bilirubin and the degree of fibrosis in their patients. This difference may be attributed to the nature of the patients included in their study, as they included patients with different etiologies of liver cirrhosis, including chronic hepatitis B and or C, alcoholic liver disease and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis.

There was a significant positive correlation between viral load (determined by quantitative PCR for HBV DNA) and liver fibrosis (determined by LSM in KPa)in patients with significant fibrosis. In agreement with our findings, previous studies conducted by Wong *et al.* [24] and Wang *et al.* [25] reported that the HBV DNA levels positively correlated with the degree of fibrosis.

Another study done by Liu *et al.* [16] showed contradictory results regarding the correlation between viral load and fibrosis. They concluded that HBV DNA levels showed

significant differences between patients with different grades of fibrosis (mild fibrosis, severe fibrosis, and cirrhosis) in the HBeAg-positive group. However, they also found that HBV DNA levels decreased significantly with the severity of pathological changes in liver tissue. Contrary to our results, we found a positive correlation with severe fibrosis, but not mild fibrosis. This difference may be due to the different populations of studies, as their findings were observed in HBeAg-positive patients and cannot be generalized to all HBV patients.

APRI and FIB-4 were mostly used in HCV infection patients, but they had conflicting results for predicting fibrosis in patients with HBV infection [23]. We tested different scoring systems which have the potential to be surrogate markers for detecting fibrosis, such as FIB4, APRI, and RDW/Platelet ratio (RPR).

Only FIB-4 was positively correlated with the degree of liver stiffness measured (LSM) by FibroScan in group II.

In accordance with our results, Tubung et al. [23] reported that there was a significant positive correlation between the degree of fibrosis measured by Fibroscan and the FIB-4 score. Moreover, Li et al. [22] reported that FIB-4 at cut-off 1.8 had 46% sensitivity and 90% specificity for predicting fibrosis (F3-F4). In addition, a meta-analysis performed on 71 studies concluded that APRI had lower performance than FIB-4, transient elastography (TE) and Fibro Test in both HBV and HCV patients [26]. A recent study conducted by Yang et al. in 2020 concluded that: APRI and FIB-4 were an efficient tool for assessing liver fibrosis in CHB patients, and they put higher FIB-4 cut-off values (4.68, 5.76, 7.83) to predict fibrosis > F1, F2, F3 respectively with lower sensitivity (60.63%) and specificity 65.56% than our study. However, they found that FibroScan has a superior ability to assess liver fibrosis than serum biomarkers [27].

Huang *et al.* [16] found that APRI and FIB-4 had the ability to predict significant fibrosis and cirrhosis ($F \ge 2$) with high sensitivity (81.6%) and specificity (80.4%). In partial agreement with our results, Lemoine *et al.* [28] studied the ability of APRI and FIB-4 to differentiate between significant fibrosis $\ge F2$ and cirrhosis (F4). They found that APRI and FIB-4 were significantly increased in higher stages of liver fibrosis, and they can predict significant fibrosis in chronic HB patients. However, they reported that the APRI score was better than FIB-4 and positively correlated with the degree of liver fibrosis in histopathological examination of liver biopsy [28, 29].

We could not find any correlation between RPR and fibrosis degree, and there was no difference between the two studied groups as regards RPR. In agreement with our results, a recent cross-sectional study was carried out in Nigeria on 264 subjects, they studied different serum markers as predictors of fibrosis, and they reported that RPR showed no significant difference between studied groups [30].

Contrary to our results, Wang *et al.* [31] concluded that RDW was positively associated with the severity of CHB, and even it can independently predict the long-term prognosis of chronic hepatitis B patients with liver cirrhosis. And Tubung *et al.*'s [23] study showed that RPR had high sensitivity and specificity for predicting severe liver fibrosis in CHB patients.

6 The Open Biomarkers Journal, 2022, Volume 12

This controversy may be attributed to the different methods of assessing liver fibrosis, the different populations and the inclusion of patients suffering from a wide range of liver diseases in these studies rather than patients with chronic hepatitis B as included in our study.

Quite the opposite of our results, a systematic review with meta-analysis was performed by Cai et al. [32] to study the diagnostic accuracy of RPR for predicting the stages of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic liver disease, and they concluded that RPR presented good performance for the prediction of significant fibrosis, advanced fibrosis, and cirrhosis in patients with chronic liver diseases. Also, another meta-analysis was performed to study the association of red blood cell distribution width with the severity of hepatitis B virus-related liver diseases and concluded that RDW levels are elevated in HBV patients compared to healthy controls, and it can be suggested as a marker for differentiating CHB patients from healthy controls or cirrhotics [33 - 35]. The unbalance of geographical distribution, without valid data in European countries, may bias the results [36 - 38]. This difference from our results can be attributed to the different study populations as they included patients with different chronic liver diseases, and not only HBV as in the present study. In addition, the lack of prospective nature of this study and small patient numbers for some sites and etiologies might also increase bias [39 - 41].

Limitations of this study were the small sample size, and non of our patients had a liver biopsy, which is still the gold standard for assessing liver fibrosis, so further studies with a larger cohort are needed to confirm our results.

CONCLUSION

The accuracy of RDW to platelet ratio (RPR) for the detection of fibrosis in CHB patients is questionable. FIB-4 is correlated with LSM in patients with significant fibrosis (F2 or more). Either RPR, APRI, or FIB4 can replace fibroscan for grading of fibrosis in CHB patients for evaluation to start therapy.

Recommendation: The role of RDW/platelet ratio in detecting the degree of hepatic fibrosis in asymptomatic CHB patients is questionable and needs more evaluation.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

RPR = RDW to Plate	elet Ratio
---------------------------	------------

LSM = Liver Stiffness Measurement

HBV = Hepatitis B Virus

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The study was approved by Tanta University Ethical Committee (approval code 32996/03/19).

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals were used in this research. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or research committee and with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, as revised in 2013.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATIONS

Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

STANDARDS OF REPORTING

STROBE guidelines were followed.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIAL

The data and supportive information are available within the article.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Dr. Sherief Abd-Elsalam is the Associate Editorial Board Member of the journal The Open Biomarkers Journal.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wong DKH, Cheng SCY, Mak LLY, et al. Among patients with undetectable hepatitis B surface antigen and hepatocellular carcinoma, a high proportion has integration of HBV DNA into hepatocyte DNA and no cirrhosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 18(2): 449-56. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2019.06.029] [PMID: 31252193]
- [2] Elmaghloub R, Elbahrawy A, Didamony GE, et al. Hepatitis B virus genotype E infection among Egyptian health care workers. J Transl Int Med 2017; 5(2): 100-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/jtim-2017-0012] [PMID: 28721342]
- [3] Lampertico P, Agarwal K, Berg T, *et al.* EASL 2017 Clinical Practice Guidelines on the management of hepatitis B virus infection. J Hepatol 2017; 67(2): 370-98.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2017.03.021] [PMID: 28427875]

- [4] Berger D, Desai V, Janardhan S. Con: Liver Biopsy Remains the Gold Standard to Evaluate Fibrosis in Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Clin Liver Dis (Hoboken) 2019; 13(4): 114-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cld.740] [PMID: 31061705]
- [5] Hansen JF, Christiansen KM, Staugaard B, et al. Combining liver stiffness with hyaluronic acid provides superior prognostic performance in chronic hepatitis C. PLoS One 2019; 14(2)e0212036 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212036] [PMID: 30742668]
- [6] Vajpayee N, Graham SS, Bem S. Basic examination of blood and bone marrow.Henry's Clinical Diagnosis and Management by Laboratory Methods. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders 2011. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-4377-0974-2.00030-0]
- [7] Al-Najjar Y, Goode KM, Zhang J, Cleland JGF, Clark AL. Red cell distribution width: An inexpensive and powerful prognostic marker in heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail 2009; 11(12): 1155-62. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurjhf/hfp147] [PMID: 19926599]
- [8] Ani C, Ovbiagele B. Elevated red blood cell distribution width predicts mortality in persons with known stroke. J Neurol Sci 2009; 277(1-2): 103-8.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2008.10.024] [PMID: 19028393]

- [9] Hampole CV, Mehrotra AK, Thenappan T, Gomberg-Maitland M, Shah SJ. Usefulness of red cell distribution width as a prognostic marker in pulmonary hypertension. Am J Cardiol 2009; 104(6): 868-72.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2009.05.016] [PMID: 19733726]
 Ye Z, Smith C, Kullo IJ. Usefulness of red cell distribution width to
- predict mortality in patients with peripheral artery disease. Am J Cardiol 2011; 107(8): 1241-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2010.12.023] [PMID: 21296321]
- [11] Lou Y, Wang M, Mao W. Clinical usefulness of measuring red blood cell distribution width in patients with hepatitis B. PLoS One 2012; 7(5)e37644
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037644] [PMID: 22649548] [12] Taefi A, Huang CC, Kolli K, Ebrahimi S, Patel M. Red cell

distribution width to platelet ratio, a useful indicator of liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis patients. Hepatol Int 2015; 9(3): 454-60. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12072-015-9638-9] [PMID: 26088296]

- [13] Sterling RK, Lissen E, Clumeck N, et al. Development of a simple noninvasive index to predict significant fibrosis in patients with HIV/HCV coinfection. Hepatology 2006; 43(6): 1317-25. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.21178] [PMID: 16729309]
- [14] Shin WG, Park SH, Jang MK, et al. Aspartate aminotransferase to platelet ratio index (APRI) can predict liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis B. Dig Liver Dis 2008; 40(4): 267-74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dld.2007.10.011] [PMID: 18055281]
- Sandrin L, Fourquet B, Hasquenoph JM, et al. Transient elastography: A new noninvasive method for assessment of hepatic fibrosis. Ultrasound Med Biol 2003; 29(12): 1705-13.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2003.07.001] [PMID: 14698338]
- [16] Liu C, Wang L, Xie H, et al. The relationship between serum hepatitis B virus DNA level and liver histology in patients with chronic HBV infection. PLoS One 2018; 13(11): e0206060.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206060] [PMID: 30403735]
 [17] Huang D, Lin T, Wang S, *et al.* The liver fibrosis index is superior to the APRI and FIB-4 for predicting liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis B patients in China. BMC Infect Dis 2019; 19(1): 878.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12879-019-4459-4] [PMID: 31640590]

 [18]
 Liaw YF, Chu CM. Hepatitis B virus infection. Lancet 2009; 373(9663): 582-92.

 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60207-5]
 [PMID:

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60207-5] [PMID: 19217993]

- [19] Lee IC, Chan CC, Huang YH, et al. Comparative analysis of noninvasive models to predict early liver fibrosis in hepatitis B e Antigen-negative Chronic Hepatitis B. J Clin Gastroenterol 2011; 45(3): 278-85.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MCG.0b013e3181dd5357] [PMID:
- 20505530]
 [20] Feng L, Sun K, Zhang J, Feng G, Zhao Y. A novel non-invasive index using AFP and APTT is associated with liver fibrosis in patients with
- using AFP and APIT is associated with liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis B infection: A retrospective cohort study. BMJ Open 2015; 5(9): e008032.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008032] [PMID: 26391629]

[21] Chen B, Ye B, Zhang J, Ying L, Chen Y. RDW to platelet ratio: a novel noninvasive index for predicting hepatic fibrosis and cirrhosis in chronic hepatitis B. PLoS One 2013; 8(7): e68780.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0068780] [PMID: 23874760]

- [22] Li Q, Chen L, Zhou Y. Diagnostic accuracy of liver stiffness measurement in chronic hepatitis B patients with normal or mildly elevated alanine transaminase levels. Sci Rep 2018; 8(1): 5224. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23646-2] [PMID: 29588489]
- [23] Tubung J, Mariadi KI, Wibawa IDN. Red cell distribution width to platelet rasio is not inferior than aspartate aminotransferase to Platelet Ratio Index score in predicting liver fibrosis in chronic Hepatitis B patients at Sanglah General Hospital Denpasar. Indones J GastroenterolHepatol Dig Endosc 2018; 19: 137-40.
- [24] Wong D, Yuen M, Tse E, Yuan H, Sum SS, Hui CK, et al. Detection of Intrahepatic Hepatitis B virus DNA and Correlation with Hepatic Necroinflammation and fibrosis. J clinMicrobio 2004; 42(9): 3920-4.
- [25] Wang D, Zhang P, Zhang M. Predictors for advanced liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis B virus infection with persistently normal or mildly elevated alanine aminotransferase. Exp Ther Med 2017; 14(6): 5363-70.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/etm.2017.5219] [PMID: 29285064]

- [26] Hamed AE, Elwan N, Naguib M, et al. Diabetes Association with Liver Diseases: An Overview for Clinicians. Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets 2019; 19(3): 274-80. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1871530318666181116111945] [PMID:
- 30444204]
 [27] Houot M, Ngo Y, Munteanu M, Marque S, Poynard T. Systematic review with meta-analysis: Direct comparisons of biomarkers for the
- diagnosis of fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C and B. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2016; 43(1): 16-29. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/apt.13446] [PMID: 26516104]
- [28] Yang L, Li J, Ma L, et al. Noninvasive assessment of liver fibrosis in

chronic hepatitis B carriers with sound touch elastography: Study of surgical pathology specimens. Expert Rev Med Devices 2020; 17(8): 845-53.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17434440.2020.1798226] [PMID: 32686517]

- [29] Lemoine M, Shimakawa Y, Nayagam S, et al. The gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase to platelet ratio (GPR) predicts significant liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with chronic HBV infection in West Africa. Gut 2016; 65(8): 1369-76. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309260] [PMID: 26109530]
- [30] Li Y, Huang YS, Wang ZZ, et al. Systematic review with metaanalysis: the diagnostic accuracy of transient elastography for the staging of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis B. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2016; 43(4): 458-69.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/apt.13488] [PMID: 26669632]

- [31] Favour OC, Grace A, Ifeanyi OE, *et al.* Evaluation of non-invasive markers of fibrosis and their correlation with the viral load in subjects with Hepatitis B in Nnewi. World journal of advanced health care research 2020; 4(1): 242-8.
- [32] Wang J, Huang R, Yan X, et al. Red blood cell distribution width: A promising index for evaluating the severity and long-term prognosis of hepatitis B virus-related diseases. Dig Liver Dis 2020; 52(4): 440-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dld.2019.12.144] [PMID: 32008975]
- Cai Y, Liu D, Cui J, *et al.* Diagnostic accuracy of red blood cell distribution width to platelet ratio for predicting staging liver fibrosis in chronic liver disease patients. Medicine (Baltimore) 2019; 98(14): e15096.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000015096] [PMID:
- 30946368]
 [34] Fan X, Deng H, Wang X, *et al.* Association of red blood cell distribution width with severity of hepatitis B virus-related liver diseases. Clin Chim Acta 2018; 482: 155-60.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2018.04.002] [PMID: 29627486]

- [35] Wasfy E, Elkassas G, Elnawasany S, et al. Predicting esophageal varices in cirrhotic hepatitis c virus patients using noninvasive measurement of insulin resistance variables. Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets 2018; 18(6): 573-80. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1871530318666180803120428] [PMID: 30073932]
- [36] Mohamed AA, El-Toukhy NER, Said EM, et al. Hepatitis C Virus: Efficacy of New DAAs Regimens. Infect Disord Drug Targets 2020; 20(2): 143-9.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/22123989OTU5BNjcvTcVY] [PMID: 30663575]
- [37] Hanafy AS, Soliman S, Abd-Elsalam S. Rescue therapy for chronic hepatitis C virus infection after repeated treatment failures: Impact on disease progression and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatol Res 2019; 49(4): 377-84.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/hepr.13303] [PMID: 30570817]

[38] Abdelmoemen G, Khodeir SA, Abou- Saif S, Kobtan A, Abd-Elsalam S. Prevalence of occult hepatitis C virus among hemodialysis patients in Tanta university hospitals: A single-center study. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2018; 25(6): 5459-64.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0897-y] [PMID: 29214477]

- [39] Ahmed OA, Kaisar HH, Hawash N, et al. Efficacy of sofosbuvir plus ribavirin with or without peginterferon-alfa in treatment of a cohort of Egyptian patients with hepatitis C virus infection. Infect Disord Drug Targets 2017; 17(2): 95-100. [PMID: 28413993]
- [40] Abdelmoemen G, Khodeir SA, Zaki AN, Kassab M, Abou-Saif S, Abd-Elsalam S. Overexpression of hepassocin in diabetic patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease may facilitate increased hepatic lipid accumulation. Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets 2019; 19(2): 185-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/19715202186661907161005421. UDMD:

[http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1871530318666180716100543] [PMID: 30009716]

[41] Soliman H, Ziada D, Salama M, et al. Predictors for Fibrosis Regression in Chronic HCV Patients after the Treatment with DAAS: Results of a Real-world Cohort Study. Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets 2019; 19: 1-8. [PMID: 31448717]

© 2022 Gabr et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.